

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,495	02/11/2002	Allan Parker	G1255	7446
75	90 09/03/2003			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor Two North Market Street			EXAMINER	
			YOHA, CONNIE C	
			2818	
		DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{N}^{γ}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,495	PARKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Connie c. Yoha	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	<u>-ebruary 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (170-cost) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/074,495

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the page buffer and pre-charge registers cited in claim 2, 3, 15, 18 and 22 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitations.

In claim 20 and 21 recite the limitation "said device" in line 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasbun et al, Pat. No. 5936884.

With regard to claim 1 and 14, Hasbun discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a plurality of flash memory cells, wherein said cells have more than two storage conditions (col. 2, line 30-31); and wherein said cells are programmable from a first non-erased state directly to a second programmed state (col. 4, line 30-39).

Drafted as Method claim

As per claim 6 and encompass the same scope of invention as to that of claim 1 and 14 except they draft in method format instead of apparatus format. The claim is therefore rejected for the same reason as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2, 4-5, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasbun et al, Pat. No. 5936884.

With regard to claim 2, Hasbun, as applied in prior rejection, disclosed all claimed subject matter except a page buffer use for combining existing cell storage conditions with new partial page information. Although Hasbun did not explicitly disclose a page

buffer is used for storing existing cell conditions with new partial page information, However, Hasbun does disclose that the "valid" information and the updated information of his device are copied to a free area of the flash memory (col. 6, line 16-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognized that Hasbun could have used a page buffer as a free area to copied the valid information and the updated information to, since it is a well known feature that a page buffer can be use as a temporary storage area in a

Page 4

Drafted as Method claim

semiconductor memory art (also with regard to claim 4-5, 15-18).

As per claim 7-13 and 19-25 encompass the same scope of invention as to that of claim 2, 4-5, 15-18 except they draft in method format instead of apparatus format. The claim is therefore rejected for the same reason as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasbun et al, Pat. No. 5936884 in view of Im, Pat. Application Publication No. US 2003/0016562 A1.

With regard to claim 3, Hasbun, as applied in prior rejection, disclosed all claimed subject matter except the page buffer comprises pre-charge registers. However, Im discloses page buffer couple to the memory device comprises pre-charge registers (fig. 5, 122, 150, 148, 155) used to store data and precharge data to the desired voltage level. It would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a precharge registers of Im into Hasbun to store and precharge the "valid" information and the updated information of his device to the desired state or voltages.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. Hasbun et al (5815434) and Ilkbahar (6563745) disclose a memory device with page register and memory array for storing digit data.
- 8. When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 9. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02 (b)).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to whose telephone number is (703) 306-5731. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms, can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax

Page 6

phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

C. Yoha

August 2003

Connie C. Yoha

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2818